

11-05-07

Thomas SHAY

Case # 11752-RWZ

VS.

United States of America


Response Motion to Governments Brief

- A. The Defendant can not be confined to a Length of Time Limit, due to His mental Incompetencies To Recognize the Legal Standings and Laws which Govern His Legal Standings.
- B. The Defendant, who's claims were witness, that the ATTORNEYS did so willingly, contribute to Give False Legal Advice, did agree with mental Instability, the Defendant, to accept, while in the Realm of Insanity, a Plea Agreement, he did not understand.
- C. The Defendant makes a Legal claim, that in October of 1988, He did Tell His Lawyers via Telephone, while they were in presence of Family members, mother & Two sisters, that He the Defendant IS Innocent.

D. The Defendant Told His ATTORNEYS, that He the Defendant was Hearing voices and needed mental Health Help, But none was offered

E. under the Laws OF the United States, without the disposition OF the court, who was unaware, or who held no knowledge OF the Defendants substantial mental Health History, while the Defendant was obviously, and continues to this day, a mental instability, the Defendant could not, should not, (Had the court been aware) been able to sign, agree, or make a legal contract while His mental Health, not fine, but not out, questionable to all parties concerned, Hence His Lawyers, who were more interested in saving Time and money.

F. The Defendant motions this court, to order a new Trial, as was set forth By the court OF APPEALS, for immediate disposition in this case. The Defendant further motions the court for appointment OF legal counsel to represent His interests and on further disposition OF this case, and Appeal.


THOMAS SHAY